

# Complex isomorphisms of simple computable structures

Alexander Melnikov

(Joint work with Rod Downey and Keng Meng Ng)

Victoria University of Wellington

Buenos Aires, 2013.

In this talk:

- 1 all structures are computable,
- 2 all isomorphisms are  $\Delta_2^0$ ,
- 3 all our structures are algebraically simple (not far from being sets).

## Definition

A computable structure  $A$  is  $\Delta_n^0$ -categorical if for every computable  $B \cong A$  there exists a  $\Delta_n^0$ -isomorphism from  $B$  to  $A$ .

## Definition

A computable structure  $A$  is  $\Delta_n^0$ -categorical if for every computable  $B \cong A$  there exists a  $\Delta_n^0$ -isomorphism from  $B$  to  $A$ .

If  $n = 1$  then  $A$  is *computably categorical*. There are also variations of the definition above (to be discussed).

## Definition

A computable structure  $A$  is  $\Delta_n^0$ -categorical if for every computable  $B \cong A$  there exists a  $\Delta_n^0$ -isomorphism from  $B$  to  $A$ .

If  $n = 1$  then  $A$  is *computably categorical*. There are also variations of the definition above (to be discussed).

## Problem (1960's for $n = 1$ , 1980's for arbitrary $n$ )

Describe  $\Delta_n^0$ -categorical members of a given class  $K$  of computable structures.

## Definition

A computable structure  $A$  is  $\Delta_n^0$ -categorical if for every computable  $B \cong A$  there exists a  $\Delta_n^0$ -isomorphism from  $B$  to  $A$ .

If  $n = 1$  then  $A$  is *computably categorical*. There are also variations of the definition above (to be discussed).

## Problem (1960's for $n = 1$ , 1980's for arbitrary $n$ )

Describe  $\Delta_n^0$ -categorical members of a given class  $K$  of computable structures.

Even for  $n = 1$  the problem is too hard in general (Downey, Kach, Lempp, Lewis, Montalban, and Turetsky).

Theorem (Goncharov, Remmel, Nurtazin, LaRoche, Smith et al.)

Computationally categorical members can be characterized in the following classes of computable structures:

- Boolean algebras,
- linear orderings,
- abelian  $p$ -groups and torsion-free abelian groups (mixed case is open),
- ordered abelian groups,
- some other specific classes.

Theorem (Goncharov, Remmel, Nurtazin, LaRoche, Smith et al.)

Computationally categorical members can be characterized in the following classes of computable structures:

- Boolean algebras,
- linear orderings,
- abelian  $p$ -groups and torsion-free abelian groups (mixed case is open),
- ordered abelian groups,
- some other specific classes.

Not much is known about  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical members of these classes.



# What is known about $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical structures?

Ash classified  $\Delta_n^0$ -categorical well-orderings.

# What is known about $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical structures?

Ash classified  $\Delta_n^0$ -categorical well-orderings.

McCoy studied  $\Delta_2^0$ - and  $\Delta_3^0$ -categorical linear orders and Boolean algebras.

# What is known about $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical structures?

Ash classified  $\Delta_n^0$ -categorical well-orderings.

McCoy studied  $\Delta_2^0$ - and  $\Delta_3^0$ -categorical linear orders and Boolean algebras.

Harris announced a characterization of Boolean algebras which are  $\Delta_n^0$ -categorical for *some*  $n \in \omega$ .

# What is known about $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical structures?

Ash classified  $\Delta_n^0$ -categorical well-orderings.

McCoy studied  $\Delta_2^0$ - and  $\Delta_3^0$ -categorical linear orders and Boolean algebras.

Harris announced a characterization of Boolean algebras which are  $\Delta_n^0$ -categorical for *some*  $n \in \omega$ .

Miller investigated the question in the class of algebraic fields.

# What is known about $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical structures?

Downey and M. characterized  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical completely decomposable groups in terms of semi-low sets.

# What is known about $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical structures?

Downey and M. characterized  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical completely decomposable groups in terms of semi-low sets.

Calvert, Cenzer, Harizanov, and Morozov were the first to look at  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical equivalence structures and multi-cyclic groups (to be defined shortly).

# What is known about $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical structures?

Downey and M. characterized  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical completely decomposable groups in terms of semi-low sets.

Calvert, Cenzer, Harizanov, and Morozov were the first to look at  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical equivalence structures and multi-cyclic groups (to be defined shortly).

## Definition

*An equivalence structure is a domain with an equivalence relation on it.*

# What is known about $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical structures?

Downey and M. characterized  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical completely decomposable groups in terms of semi-low sets.

Calvert, Cenzer, Harizanov, and Morozov were the first to look at  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical equivalence structures and multi-cyclic groups (to be defined shortly).

## Definition

*An equivalence structure is a domain with an equivalence relation on it.*

## Definition

*A multi-cyclic group is a direct sum of cyclic and quasi-cyclic abelian  $p$ -groups.*



# Equivalence structures

CCHM observed that every computable equivalence structure and each multi-cyclic group is  $\Delta_3^0$ -categorical. They left open:

## Problem

Which computable equivalence structures are  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical?

# Equivalence structures

CCHM observed that every computable equivalence structure and each multi-cyclic group is  $\Delta_3^0$ -categorical. They left open:

## Problem

Which computable equivalence structures are  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical?

## Problem

Is there a  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical multi-cyclic group which does not fall into trivial cases?

# Equivalence structures

CCHM observed that every computable equivalence structure and each multi-cyclic group is  $\Delta_3^0$ -categorical. They left open:

## Problem

Which computable equivalence structures are  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical?

## Problem

Is there a  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical multi-cyclic group which does not fall into trivial cases?

In contrast to linear orders and Boolean algebras, both equivalence structures and multi-cyclic groups have nice and simple algebraic classifications.

# Case of study: coding a set

## Definition

For a set  $X \subset \omega$ , let  $E(X)$  be an equivalence structure with  $\omega$ -many infinite classes and exactly one class of size  $n$  for each  $n \in X$ .

Say that an infinite  $\Sigma_2^0$  set  $X$  is **categorical** if the computable  $E(X)$  is  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical.

# Case of study: coding a set

## Definition

For a set  $X \subset \omega$ , let  $E(X)$  be an equivalence structure with  $\omega$ -many infinite classes and exactly one class of size  $n$  for each  $n \in X$ .

Say that an infinite  $\Sigma_2^0$  set  $X$  is **categorical** if the computable  $E(X)$  is  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical.

Recall a  $\Sigma_2^0$  set  $S \subseteq \omega$  is **semi-low<sub>1.5</sub>** if

$$\{e : |W_e \cap S| < \infty\} \leq_1 \{e : |\text{range } \varphi_e| < \infty\}$$

# Case of study: coding a set

## Definition

For a set  $X \subset \omega$ , let  $E(X)$  be an equivalence structure with  $\omega$ -many infinite classes and exactly one class of size  $n$  for each  $n \in X$ .

Say that an infinite  $\Sigma_2^0$  set  $X$  is **categorical** if the computable  $E(X)$  is  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical.

Recall a  $\Sigma_2^0$  set  $S \subseteq \omega$  is **semi-low<sub>1.5</sub>** if

$$\{e : |W_e \cap S| < \infty\} \leq_1 \{e : |\text{range } \varphi_e| < \infty\}$$

## Theorem

- 1 *Each infinite d.c.e. semi-low<sub>1.5</sub> set is not categorical.*
- 2 *Some infinite semi-low<sub>1.5</sub> set is categorical. Some d-c.e. set is categorical.*

# Case of study: coding a set

Recall a set  $X \subseteq \omega$  is **limitwise monotonic** if there is a recursive  $f$  such that

$$X = \text{range } \sup_y f(x, y)$$

# Case of study: coding a set

Recall a set  $X \subseteq \omega$  is **limitwise monotonic** if there is a recursive  $f$  such that

$$X = \text{range } \sup_y f(x, y)$$

## Theorem

- 1 If an infinite  $\Sigma_2^0$  set  $X$  is limitwise monotonic then  $X$  is not categorical.
- 2 There exists an infinite set which is not categorical and not limitwise monotonic.



# Case of study: coding a set

Recall a set  $X \subseteq \omega$  is **limitwise monotonic** if there is a recursive  $f$  such that

$$X = \text{range } \sup_y f(x, y)$$

## Theorem

- 1 If an infinite  $\Sigma_2^0$  set  $X$  is limitwise monotonic then  $X$  is not categorical.
- 2 There exists an infinite set which is not categorical and not limitwise monotonic.

The general intuition is that being not categorical is a “non-uniform version” of being limitwise monotonic.

# Case of study: coding a set

Recall a set  $X \subseteq \omega$  is **limitwise monotonic** if there is a recursive  $f$  such that

$$X = \text{range } \sup_y f(x, y)$$

## Theorem

- 1 If an infinite  $\Sigma_2^0$  set  $X$  is limitwise monotonic then  $X$  is not categorical.
- 2 There exists an infinite set which is not categorical and not limitwise monotonic.

The general intuition is that being not categorical is a “non-uniform version” of being limitwise monotonic.

How much do these notions differ?

# Categoricity bounding vs. (none-)l.m. bounding

Being limitwise monotonic is not a degree-invariant property. The same is true about being categorical.

# Categoricity bounding vs. (none-)l.m. bounding

Being limitwise monotonic is not a degree-invariant property. The same is true about being categorical.

Which c.e. degrees bound a categorical set?

# Categoricity bounding vs. (none-)l.m. bounding

Being limitwise monotonic is not a degree-invariant property. The same is true about being categorical.

Which c.e. degrees bound a categorical set?

## Theorem

*For a c.e. degree  $\mathbf{a}$ , the following are equivalent:*

- 1  $\mathbf{a}$  is high.
- 2 There exists an infinite categorical set  $X \leq_T \mathbf{a}$ .
- 3 (Downey, Kach, Turetsky) There exists an infinite  $X \leq_T \mathbf{a}$  such that  $X$  is not limitwise monotonic.

Thus, c.e. degrees do not see the difference. The proof of  $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$  has nothing to do with limitwise monotonicity.

# The general case of multi-sets

Can we at least reduce the general problem to the set case (remove repetitions)?

# The general case of multi-sets

Can we at least reduce the general problem to the set case (remove repetitions)?

Given an equivalence structure  $E$ , remove repetitions of finite classes from  $E$ . Call the resulting  $E_0$  the **condensation** of  $E$ .

# The general case of multi-sets

Can we at least reduce the general problem to the set case (remove repetitions)?

Given an equivalence structure  $E$ , remove repetitions of finite classes from  $E$ . Call the resulting  $E_0$  the **condensation** of  $E$ .

## Proposition

*If  $E$  is  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical, then its condensation is  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical as well.*



# The annoying problem

## Problem

Is there a computable  $E$  which is *not*  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical but whose condensation is  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical?

# The annoying problem

## Problem

Is there a computable  $E$  which is *not*  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical but whose condensation is  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical?

## Strong Conjecture

*Yes!*

# The annoying problem

## Problem

Is there a computable  $E$  which is *not*  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical but whose condensation *is*  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical?

## Strong Conjecture

*Yes!*

## Proof.

A  $0'''$  argument, to be written up. □

# Uniform versions of $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity

So, (plain)  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity is very difficult to capture in the class of (computable) equivalence structures.

# Uniform versions of $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity

So, (plain)  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity is very difficult to capture in the class of (computable) equivalence structures.

There are also uniform versions of  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity such as:

- 1 **relative**  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity,
- 2 **uniform**  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity,
- 3 **effective**  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity (a  $\Sigma_2^0$ -index of an isomorphism can be computed from indices of two given computable copies).

# Uniform versions of $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity

So, (plain)  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity is very difficult to capture in the class of (computable) equivalence structures.

There are also uniform versions of  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity such as:

- 1 **relative**  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity,
- 2 **uniform**  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity,
- 3 **effective**  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity (a  $\Sigma_2^0$ -index of an isomorphism can be computed from indices of two given computable copies).

**Theorem (CCHM; Kach and Turetsky; Downey, M., Ng )**

All these notions are different in the context of equivalence relations, and all are not the same as (plain)  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity.

# Multi-cyclic groups

Recall the definition of a multi-cyclic group.

# Multi-cyclic groups

Recall the definition of a multi-cyclic group.

## Theorem

A multi-cyclic group with infinitely many infinite quasi-cyclic summands is effectively  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical if, and only if, the naturally associated equivalence structure is effectively  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical.



# Multi-cyclic groups

Recall the definition of a multi-cyclic group.

## Theorem

A multi-cyclic group with infinitely many infinite quasi-cyclic summands is effectively  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical if, and only if, the naturally associated equivalence structure is effectively  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical.

## Corollary

There exists a  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical multi-cyclic group having infinitely many quasi-cyclic summands. (Answers a question left open by CCHM)

# Multi-cyclic groups

Comments on the proof:

- 1 (Effective)  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity in such groups is regulated by the complexity of height-function. (The proof uses a refinement of the first half of Kaplansky's book.)
- 2 We don't know if the theorem holds for plain  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity (conjecture: no).
- 3 A direct proof of the Corollary, without using the Theorem, would be problematic.

We conclude by giving some further properties of effective  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity in the context of equivalence structures and comparing them to the plain case.

# Multi-cyclic groups

Effectively  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical equivalence structures possess much more nice structural properties. For instance:

# Multi-cyclic groups

Effectively  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical equivalence structures possess much more nice structural properties. For instance:

There is an equivalent property which is much easier to use than just the definition (we skip it).

# Multi-cyclic groups

Effectively  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical equivalence structures possess much more nice structural properties. For instance:

There is an equivalent property which is much easier to use than just the definition (we skip it).

Repetitions of finite classes do not effect the property.

# Multi-cyclic groups

Effectively  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical equivalence structures possess much more nice structural properties. For instance:

There is an equivalent property which is much easier to use than just the definition (we skip it).

Repetitions of finite classes do not effect the property.

In the context of c.e. degrees, effective  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity bounding is equivalent to being complete (a pretty proof).

# Multi-cyclic groups

Effectively  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical equivalence structures possess much more nice structural properties. For instance:

There is an equivalent property which is much easier to use than just the definition (we skip it).

Repetitions of finite classes do not effect the property.

In the context of c.e. degrees, effective  $\Delta_2^0$ -categoricity bounding is equivalent to being complete (a pretty proof).

There are some further nice results that we skip.

# Summary

We obtained several (mostly negative) results towards

## Problem

Which computable equivalence classes are  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical?

which is still open.



# Summary

We obtained several (mostly negative) results towards

## Problem

Which computable equivalence classes are  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical?

which is still open.

We applied our results to solve Problem 5.1 from (CCHM) about computable groups.

# Summary

We obtained several (mostly negative) results towards

## Problem

Which computable equivalence classes are  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical?

which is still open.

We applied our results to solve Problem 5.1 from (CCHM) about computable groups.

We can also solve Problem 5.2 from (CCHM) using stripping functions, in the context of the Ash-Knight-Oates conjecture...

# Summary

We obtained several (mostly negative) results towards

## Problem

Which computable equivalence classes are  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical?

which is still open.

We applied our results to solve Problem 5.1 from (CCHM) about computable groups.

We can also solve Problem 5.2 from (CCHM) using stripping functions, in the context of the Ash-Knight-Oates conjecture...

... but it is a different paper and a different story.

Thanks (in Russian)

СПАСИБО