

*Computationally enumerable, strongly jump-traceable
reals*

Noam Greenberg

10th January 2007

DEFINITION (TERWIJN, ZAMBELLA)

A **trace** for a (partial) function $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ is a sequence of finite sets $\langle F_x \rangle$ such that for all $x \in \text{dom } f$,

$$f(x) \in F_x.$$

A trace is **computable** if the sequence of (canonical indexes for the) finite sets is computable. A trace is **c.e.** if the sequence of finite sets is uniformly c.e.

ORDERS

DEFINITION

An **order** is a computable, non-decreasing, and unbounded function $h: \omega \rightarrow \omega$.

A trace $\langle F_x \rangle$ for a function f **respects** an order h if for all x ,

$$|F_x| \leq h(x).$$

COMPUTABLE TRACEABILITY

DEFINITION

A Turing degree \mathbf{a} is **computably traceable** if there is some order h such that every (total) $f \leq_T \mathbf{a}$ has a computable trace which respects h .

THEOREM (TERWIJN, ZAMBELLA, KJOS-HANSEN)

A degree \mathbf{a} is computably traceable iff it is low for Schnorr randomness.

There are 2^{\aleph_0} many computably traceable degrees. They are all hyperimmune-free (or $\mathbf{0}$ -dominated) and so none are Δ_2^0 .

C.E. TRACEABILITY

DEFINITION

A degree is **c.e. traceable** if there is some order h such that every (total) $f \leq_T \mathbf{a}$ has a c.e. trace which respects h .

THEOREM (ISHMUKHAMETOV)

A c.e. degree is c.e. traceable iff it is array computable. As a result, a c.e. degree has a strong minimal cover iff it is array computable.

THEOREM (STEPHAN)

A degree is computably traceable iff it is both c.e. traceable and hyperimmune-free.

STRONG TRACEABILITY

Let $\Gamma \in \{\text{c.e.}, \text{computably}\}$.

DEFINITION

A degree \mathbf{a} is **strongly Γ -traceable** if for every order h , every $f \leq_T \mathbf{a}$ has a Γ -trace which respects h .

THEOREM (TERWIJN, ZEMBELLA)

A degree is Γ -traceable iff it is strongly Γ -traceable.

JUMP-TRACEABILITY

DEFINITION (NIES)

A degree \mathbf{a} is **jump-traceable** if there is an order h such that every function which is *partial* computable in \mathbf{a} has a c.e. trace which respects h .

THEOREM (NIES)

1. *There are 2^{\aleph_0} many jump-traceable degrees.*
2. *Every K -trivial degree is jump-traceable.*
3. *On the c.e. degrees, superlowiness coincides with jump-traceability. They differ on the ω -c.e. degrees.*

STRONG JUMP-TRACEABILITY

DEFINITION (FIGUEIRA, NIES, STEPHAN)

A degree \mathbf{a} is **strongly jump-traceable** if for all orders h , every function which is partial computable in \mathbf{a} has a c.e. trace which respects h .

Figueira, Nies and Stephan showed that not every jump-traceable degree is strongly jump-traceable.

THEOREM (FIGUEIRA, NIES, STEPHAN)

A set A has strongly jump-traceable degree iff it is “almost low for C ” in the sense that for every order h , for almost all x ,

$$C(x) - C^A(x) \leq h(C^A(x)).$$

EXISTENCE

Figueira, Nies and Stephan proved that there is a non-computable, c.e. strongly jump-traceable set.

Fix a slow-growing order h , and let us enumerate a set A which will be jump-traceable respecting h . The requirements to meet are:

P_e : $A \neq \bar{W}_e$.

N_e : Trace $J^A(e)$ with fewer than $h(e)$ many errors.

Here J^A is the universal A -partial computable function.

In the beginning, the requirements are ordered thus:

$$\underbrace{N_0 N_1 N_2 \cdots N_e \cdots}_{h(e)=1} P_0 \underbrace{\cdots N_e \cdots}_{h(e)=2} P_1 \underbrace{\cdots N_e \cdots}_{h(e)=3} P_2 \cdots$$

This works because each positive requirement acts at most once.

A FAILED CONSTRUCTION

Suppose we wanted more. Let us try to build a perfect Π_1^0 class of h -jump-traceable sets.

The negative requirement N_e make sure that the width of the tree we build, at the level at which all $J^X(e)$ computations already appear, is at most $h(e)$. The positive requirements add splits.

However, if a traced value for $J^X(e)$ is cut off, we cannot take it out of the trace. Thus N_e has to become stronger and requires a narrower tree at its level.

THE TURN-AROUND

THEOREM (DOWNEY, G)

Every strongly jump-traceable set is Δ_2^0 .

Thus there are only countably many.

THE C.E. CASE

THEOREM (CHOLAK, DOWNEY, G)

In the c.e. degrees, the strongly jump-traceable degrees form a proper sub-ideal of the K-trivial degrees.

A CONJECTURE

Every strongly jump-traceable degree is computable from a c.e. one. Or at least one which is h -jump-traceable (given a slow order h .) As a result, every strongly jump-traceable set is K -trivial.

OTHER CLASSES?

Some other classes, possibly smaller than the K -trivials:

- ▶ ML non-cupppable degrees: no incomplete Martin-Löf random joins them above $\mathbf{0}'$.
- ▶ ML coverable degrees: they are computable from an incomplete Martin-Löf random real.
- ▶ Degrees which are computable from all almost complete random reals.

THEOREM (CHOLAK, DOWNEY, G)

Every c.e., strongly jump-traceable degree is ML non-cupppable.

NO LEAST ORDER

THEOREM (NG)

For every order h there is an order h' and a set A which is h -jump-traceable but not h' -jump-traceable.

HIGHNESS

Using Jockusch-Shore pseudo jump inversions, we see that the following classes of c.e. degrees \mathbf{a} are distinct:

- ▶ $\mathbf{0}'$ -tracing (or “ultra-high”): $\mathbf{0}'$ is strongly jump-traceable relative to \mathbf{a} ;
- ▶ Almost complete (or “ $\mathbf{0}'$ -trivialising”): $\mathbf{0}'$ is K -trivial over \mathbf{a} ;
- ▶ Superhigh degrees.

HIGHNESS AND CAPPING

THEOREM (NG)

There is a cappable $\mathbf{0}'$ -tracing c.e. degree.

THEOREM (NG; SHORE)

There is a minimal pair of superhigh c.e. degrees.

We conjecture that there is no minimal pair of c.e. *zero'*-tracing degrees. This is related to cone-avoidance questions about uniform a.e. domination and pseudo-jump inversions.