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## Expansion of a real number in an integer base

For a real number $x$, its fractional expansion in an integer base $b \geqslant 2$ is a sequence of integers $a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots$, where $0 \leqslant a_{n}<b$ for every $n$, such that

$$
x-\lfloor x\rfloor=\sum_{j \geqslant 1} a_{n} / b^{n}=0 . a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \ldots
$$

We require that $a_{n}<b-1$ infinitely often to ensure that every number has a unique representation.

## Borel normal numbers

Let integer $b \geqslant 2$. A real number $x$ is simply normal to base $b$ if every digit in $\{0, \ldots, b-1\}$ occurs in the base- $b$ expansion of $x$ with the same asymptotic frequency (that is, with frequency $1 / b$ ).

A real number $x$ is normal to base $b$ if it is simply normal to all the bases $b, b^{2}, b^{3}, \ldots$
A real number $x$ is aboslutely normal if it is normal to all integer bases.

## Examples and counterexamples of Borel normal numbers

$0.01010101010 \ldots$ is simply normal to base 2 but not to $2^{2}$ nor $2^{3}$, etc.
Each number in Cantor middle third set is not simply normal to base 3 .
Champernowne's number in base 10

### 0.12345679101112131415161718192021

is normal to base 10, but is not known whether it is normal to the multiplicatively independent bases.

Stoneham number $\alpha_{2,3}=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{3^{n} 2^{3^{n}}}$ is normal to base 2 but not simply normal to base 6 (Bailey and Borwein, 2012).

## Borel normal numbers

Borel (1909) proved that almost all numbers, with respect to Lebesgue measure, are absolutely normal.

Borel normality and other properties of full measure

- continued fraction normal
- $x$ and $1 / x$ absolutely normal
- Poisson generic in base $b$ (subset of normal in base $b$ )


## Borel normal and Lebesgue measure zero properties



Turing(1937), Cassels (1959), Schmidt (1961/1962), Bugeaud (2002), Levin (1999) Conjecture (Borel 1951)

Algebraic numbers are absolutely normal $I_{5 / 32}$

## Question

How many consecutive digits have to be independent, so that almost all numbers are Borel normal?

## Answers today

For each position $n$, slightly more than $\log \log n$ (Theorem 1 ).

Metric theorems for Borel normal Toeplitz numbers (Theorems 2 and 5).

Examples of Borel (simply) normal Toeplitz numbers (Theorems 3 and 4).

## Theorem 1 (Aistleitner, Becher and Carton 2019)

Let integer $b \geqslant 2$. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of random variables from a given probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ into $\{0, . ., b-1\}$.
Assume that for every $n, X_{n}$ is uniformly distributed on $\{0, . ., b-1\}$. Suppose there is a function $g: \mathbb{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ unbounded and monotonically increasing such that for all sufficiently large $n$ the random variables

$$
X_{n}, X_{n+1}, \ldots, X_{n+\lceil g(n) \log \log n\rceil}
$$

are mutually independent. Then, $P$-almost surely $x=0 . X_{1} X_{2} \ldots$ is normal to base $b$.

## Theorem 1, continued

On the other hand, for every integer $b \geqslant 2$ and every positive $K$ there is an example where $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ are uniformly distributed on $\{0, \ldots, b-1\}$ and for all sufficiently large $n$ the random variables

$$
X_{n}, X_{n+1}, \ldots, X_{n+\lceil K \log \log n\rceil}
$$

are mutually independent but $P$-almost surely the number $x=0 . X_{1} X_{2} \ldots$ is not simply normal to base $b$.

## Proof of Theorem 1, simple normality to base $b$

Fix base $b$. Fix $\varepsilon$.
Partition $\mathbb{N}$ in $N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots$ such that each $\left|N_{j}\right|$ grows exponentially in $j$ $\left(N_{j}\right.$ goes from $(1+\varepsilon)^{j-1}$ to $\left.(1+\varepsilon)^{j}\right)$.
$N_{1} N_{2} N_{2} N_{3} \ldots$

Let $j$ be large enough.
Partition $N_{j}$ in $S_{1}, \ldots S_{r}$, each $|S|=\left\lceil(\log j) / \varepsilon^{2}\right\rceil$.


Variables with indices in each $S$ are independent because

$$
|S|>\frac{\log \log n}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \quad \text { for } n \in N_{j}
$$

while by the assumption independence holds for random variables whose indices are within distance $g(n) \log \log n$ of each other with $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$.

## Proof of Theorem 1, simple normality to base $b$

Fix a digit $d$.
By Hoeffding's inequality, for each $S$,

$$
P\left(\left|\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{n \in S} \mathbf{1}\left(X_{n}=d\right)-\frac{1}{b}\right|>\varepsilon\right) \leqslant 2 e^{-2 \varepsilon^{2}|S|} \leqslant \frac{2}{j^{2}} .
$$

## Proof of Theorem 1, simple normality to base $b$

Let $D_{S}$ be the random variable for $\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{n \in S} \mathbf{1}\left(X_{n}=d\right)-\frac{1}{b}$, obtain (in some steps)

$$
P\left(\sum_{S \in\left\{S_{1}, \ldots, S_{r}\right\}}\left|D_{S}\right|>2 \varepsilon r\right) \leqslant \frac{2}{\varepsilon j^{2}} .
$$

These exceptional probabilities form a convergent series summing over $j$.
Thus, $P$-almost surely $\quad\left|\frac{1}{\left|N_{j}\right|}\right|\left\{n \in N_{j}: X_{n}=d\right\}\left|-\frac{1}{b}\right| \leqslant 2 \varepsilon$,
By Borel Cantelli lemma, $\left|\frac{1}{N}\right|\left\{n: 1 \leqslant n \leqslant N, X_{n}=d\right\}\left|-\frac{1}{b}\right| \leqslant 4 \varepsilon$.

## Proof of Theorem 1, normality to base $b$

The same argument yields simple normality to $b^{2}, b^{3}, b^{4}, \ldots$ For $b^{2}$ we have

$$
\left(0 . X_{1} X_{2} X_{3} X_{4} \ldots\right)_{b}=\left(0 . Y_{1} Y_{2} \ldots\right)_{b^{2}}
$$

where, for each $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
Y_{n}=b X_{2 n-1}+X_{2 n} .
$$

Mutual independence of

$$
\left.X_{2 n-1}, X_{2 n}, \ldots, X_{2 n-1+\lceil g(2 n-1)} \log \log (2 n-1)\right\rceil
$$

implies there is a monotonous increasing function $\hat{g}$ such that for all sufficiently large $n$,

$$
Y_{n}, Y_{n+1}, \ldots, Y_{n+\lceil\hat{g}(n) \log \log n\rceil}
$$

are mutually independent.

## Toeplitz numbers (Jacobs and Keane 1969)

Let integer $b \geqslant 2$. Let $\mathbb{P}$ denote the set of prime numbers and let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$.
The set of Toeplitz numbers $\mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$ is the set of all real numbers $\xi \in[0,1)$ whose base- $b$ expansion $\xi=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n} / b^{n}$ satisfies

$$
a_{n}=a_{n p} \quad(n \geqslant 1, p \in \mathcal{P})
$$

For example, $0 . a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \ldots$ is a Toeplitz number for $\mathcal{P}=\{2,3\}$ if, for every $n \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
a_{n}=a_{2 n}=a_{3 n}
$$

Then, $a_{1}, a_{5}, a_{7}, a_{11}, \ldots$ are independent while $a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{6}, \ldots$ are completely determined by earlier digits.

## Uniform measure on $\mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a set of primes included in $\mathbb{P}$.
Let $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots$ be the enumeration in increasing order of all positive integers that are not divisible by any of the primes in $\mathcal{P}$.
The Toeplitz transform $\tau_{b, \mathcal{P}}:[0,1) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$ is defined as

$$
\tau_{b, \mathcal{P}}\left(0 . a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \ldots\right):=0 . t_{1} t_{2} t_{3} \ldots
$$

such that when $n=j_{k} p_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots p_{r}^{e_{r}} \quad\left(p_{1}, \cdots, p_{r} \in \mathcal{P}\right)$,

$$
t_{n}=a_{k}
$$

We endow $\mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$ with a probability measure $\mu$, which is the forward-push by $\tau_{b, \mathcal{P}}$ of the Lebesgue measure $\lambda$. For any measurable set $X \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$,

$$
\mu(X)=\lambda\left(\tau_{b, \mathfrak{P}}^{-1}(X)\right) .
$$

Theorem 2 (Aistleitner, Becher and Carton 2019)
Let integer $b \geqslant 2$, let finite $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{P}$ and let $\mu$ be the uniform probability measure on $\mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$. Then, $\mu$-almost all elements of $\mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$ are normal to base $b$.

For $\mathcal{P}=\{2\}$ was obtained by Alexander Shen (2016), and by Lingmin Liao and Michal Rams (2021).

Yann Bugeaud (personal communication 2017) observed the theorem holds for infinite $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{P}$ (it is possible that there is some publication!).

## Proof of Theorem 2

The Toeplitz transform $\tau_{b, \mathcal{P}}$ also induces a function $\delta: \mathbb{N} \mapsto \mathbb{N}$ where

$$
\tau_{b, \mathcal{P}}\left(0 . a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots\right)=0 . t_{1} t_{2} t_{3} \cdots=0 . a_{\delta(1)} a_{\delta(2)} a_{\delta(3)} \cdots
$$

For each $n, t_{n}(x)$, is a random variable on space $([0,1), \mathcal{B}(0,1), \lambda)$.
Since $t_{n}(x)=a_{\delta(n)}(x)$ for all $n, t_{m}$ and $t_{n}$ are independent, with respect to both measures $\lambda$ and $\mu$, if and only if $\delta(m) \neq \delta(n)$.

For $\mathcal{P}=\{2\}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=\delta(1)=\delta(2)=\delta(4)=\delta(8)=\ldots \\
& 3=\delta(3)=\delta(6)=\delta(12)=\ldots \\
& 5=\delta(5)=\delta(10)=\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{P}=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ be a finite set of $r$ primes.
Define $n \sim n^{\prime}$ whenever there are exponents $e_{1}, \ldots e_{r}, e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots e_{r}^{\prime}$ and a positive integer $k$ such that
$k$ is coprime with each $p \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$
n=k p_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots p_{r}^{e_{r}} \text { and } n^{\prime}=k p_{1}^{e_{1}^{\prime}} \ldots p_{r}^{e_{r}^{\prime}} .
$$

## Lemma (follows from Tijdeman 1973)

There is $n_{0}$ such that if $n^{\prime} \sim n$ and $n^{\prime}>n>n_{0}$, then $n^{\prime}-n>2 \sqrt{n}$.

Since $n \sim n^{\prime}$ holds exacly when $\delta(n)=\delta\left(n^{\prime}\right)$, and given that $\lfloor 2 \sqrt{n}\rfloor \gg g(n) \log \log (n)$, we have

$$
\delta(n), \delta(n+1), \ldots, \delta(n+\lfloor 2 \sqrt{n}\rfloor)
$$

are pairwise different.
Thus, $\left.a_{\delta(n)}, a_{\delta(n+1)}, \ldots, a_{\delta(n+\lfloor 2 \sqrt{n}\rfloor}\right)$ are mutually independent.

## Example of a simply number in $\mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{P}$. Define $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n): \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, the sum of the exponents in the factorization of $n$ of those prime factors that are not in $\mathcal{P}$.

For example, for $\mathcal{P}=\{2,3\}$,
$\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(2)=\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(3)=\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(6)=\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(8)=0$
$\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(5)=\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(10)=1$
$\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(35)=2$
Given $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{P}$ and integer $b \geqslant 2$, the number

$$
\xi_{\mathcal{P}}:=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} t_{n} / b^{n}
$$

where

$$
t_{n}:=\left(\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n) \quad \bmod b\right)
$$

Clearly $\xi_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$.

Theorem 3 (Becher, Marchionna and Tenenbaum 2023)
Let integer $b \geqslant 2$ and $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{P}$. The number $\xi_{\mathcal{P}}$ is simply normal to base $b$ if, and only if, $\sum_{p \in(\mathbb{P} \backslash \mathcal{P})} 1 / p=\infty$. Moreover, defining for $k=0, \ldots,(b-1)$

$$
\varepsilon_{N, k}:=\left|\frac{1}{N}\right|\left\{n: 1 \leqslant n \leqslant N,\left(\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n) \quad \bmod b\right)=k\right\}\left|-\frac{1}{b}\right|
$$

we have

$$
\varepsilon_{N, k} \ll \frac{1}{b} \mathrm{e}^{-E(N) / 180 b^{2}}, \text { where } E(N):=\sum_{p \leqslant N, p \in(\mathbb{P} \backslash \mathcal{P})} 1 / p \quad(N \geqslant 1)
$$

## Proof of Theorem 3

## Lemma

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{P}$ and let $b$ be an integer $\geqslant 2$. The number $\xi_{\mathcal{P}}$ is simply normal to base $b$ if, and only if,

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leqslant n \leqslant N} \mathrm{e}\left(a \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n) / b\right)=o(1) \quad(a=1,2, \ldots b-1, N \rightarrow \infty)
$$

with usual notation $\mathrm{e}(u):=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i u}(u \in \mathbb{R})$.

## Proof of Theorem 3

## Lemma

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{P}$ and let $b$ be an integer $\geqslant 2$. The number $\xi_{\mathcal{P}}$ is simply normal to the base $b$ if, and only if,

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leqslant n \leqslant N} \mathrm{e}\left(a \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n) / b\right)=o(1) \quad(a=1,2, \ldots b-1, N \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

with usual notation $\mathrm{e}(u):=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i u}(u \in \mathbb{R})$.

## Proof.

The necessity of the criterion is clear. We show the sufficiency. Define

$$
b_{k, N}:=\frac{1}{N}\left|\left\{1 \leqslant n \leqslant N:\left(\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n) \quad \bmod b\right)=k\right\}\right| \quad(0 \leqslant k<b, N \geqslant 1) .
$$

Then,

$$
\stackrel{\mathrm{en},}{b_{k, N}}=\frac{1}{b N} \sum_{0 \leqslant a<b} \mathrm{e}(-a k / b) \sum_{1 \leqslant n \leqslant N} \mathrm{e}\left(a \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n) / b\right)=\frac{1}{b}+o(1)
$$

because by hypothesis all inner sums with $a \neq 0$ contribute $o(N)$.

## Proof of Theorem 3

Define

$$
S(N ; a / b):=\sum_{1 \leqslant n \leqslant N} \mathrm{e}\left(a \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n) / b\right) \quad(a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \geqslant 2, N \geqslant 1) .
$$

Ramanujan J.
44, n ${ }^{\circ} 3$ (2017), 641-701;
Corrig. 51, $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{o}} 1$ (2020), 243-244.

# Moyennes effectives de fonctions multiplicatives complexes* 

Gérald Tenenbaum


#### Abstract

We establish effective mean-value estimates for a wide class of multiplicative arithmetic functions, thereby providing (essentially optimal) quantitative versions of Wirsing's classical estimates and extending those of Halász. Several applications are derived, including: estimates for the difference of mean-values of so-called pretentious functions, local laws for the distribution of prime factors in an arbitrary set, and weighted distribution of additive functions.


## Proof of Theorem 3

Notice $\left\{a \in \mathbb{Z}:|a| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} b\right\}$ describes a complete set of residues $(\bmod b)$.
Whenever $a$ and $b$ are coprime, $b \geqslant 2$ and $|a| \leqslant b / 2$, apply Tenenbaum's effective mean-value estimates for a arithmetic multiplicative functions (quantitative versions of Wirsing's classical estimates):

$$
S(N ; a / b) \ll N e^{-a^{2} E(N) /\left(180 b^{2}\right)}
$$

So, if $\sum_{p \in(\mathbb{P} \backslash \mathcal{P})} 1 / p=\infty$ holds, $S(N, a / b)=o(N)$ as $N \rightarrow+\infty$
and $\xi_{\mathcal{P}}$ is simply normal to the base $b$.

## Proof of Theorem 3

If, on the contrary, $\sum_{p \in(\mathbb{P} \backslash \mathcal{P})} 1 / p<\infty$ we need to prove $S(N, a / b) \gg N$.
Use $\sum_{p \in(\mathbb{P} \backslash \mathcal{P}), p \leqslant N} \frac{\log p}{p} \ll \eta_{N} \log N$, for some $\eta_{N} \rightarrow 0$.
A possible choice is $\eta_{N}:=\min _{1 \leqslant z \leqslant N}\left(\frac{\log z}{\log N}+\sum_{p \in(\mathbb{P} \backslash \mathcal{P}), p>z} \frac{1}{p}\right)$.
Apply Tenenbaum's effective version of a result of Delange,
$S(N ; a / b)=\frac{N}{\log N}\left(\prod_{p} \sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant N} \frac{e\left(\nu a \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(p) / b\right)}{p^{\nu}}+O\left(\eta_{N}^{1 / 8} \mathrm{e}^{E(N)}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{E(N)}}{\log ^{1 / 12} N}\right)\right)$
Show

$$
\log N \ll \prod_{p} \sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant N} \frac{e\left(\nu a \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(p) / b\right)}{p^{\nu}}
$$

and conclude $S(N, a / b) \gg N, \square$

## Example of a normal number in $\mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$ for singleton $\mathcal{P}$

Theorem 4 (Becher, Carton and Heiber 2018)
We construct a number in $\mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$ for $b=2$ and $\mathcal{P}=\{2\}$, normal to base 2 .

## Proof of Theorem 4

Fix alphabet of two symbols. We construct a sequence $x$ such that $x=\operatorname{even}(x)$.
A word $x$ is $\ell$-perfect if each of the $2^{\ell}$ many words of length $\ell$ occurs aligned in $x$ the same number of times.
The construction consists in concatenating perfect sequences $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots$ such that $\left|s_{i+1}\right|=2\left|s_{i}\right|, s_{i}=\operatorname{even}\left(s_{i+1}\right)$ and each $s_{i}$ is $\ell_{i}$-perfect for $\ell_{i}$ a power of 2 .
Start with $s_{1}=01, s_{2}:=1001$ and $\ell_{2}=1$. For $i>2$,
If $\left|s_{i}\right|=\ell_{i} 2^{2 \ell_{i}}$ and $s_{i}$ is $\ell_{i}$-perfect then construct $s_{i+1}$ by transforming the $n$-th occurrence of $u$ into $w=v \vee u$, where $v$ is the $n$-th word of length $\ell_{i}$ in lexico order. Then $s_{i}$ is $2 \ell_{i}$-perfect, because all words of length $2 \ell_{i}$ occur once in $s_{i+1}$. Set $\ell_{i+1}:=2 \ell_{i}$.
If $\left|s_{i}\right|=m 2^{2 \ell_{i}}$, with $m$ a multiple of $\ell_{i}$ but $m \neq \ell_{i} 2^{\ell_{i}}$, and $s_{i}$ is $\ell_{i}$-perfect then construct $s_{i+1}$ as before, but now with multiplicity $m$. Notice that $s_{i+1}$ is $\ell_{i}$-perfect, each word of length $\ell_{i}$ occurs twice the number of times it occurred before. Set $\ell_{i+1}:=\ell_{i}$.

## A metric theorem in $\mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{P}=\{2\}$, for absolute normality

Theorem 5 (Aistleitner, Becher and Carton 2019)
Let integer $b \geqslant 2, \mathcal{P}=\{2\}$ and $\mu$ be the uniform probability measure on $\mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$. Then, $\mu$-almost all elements of $\mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$ are absolutely normal.

Two positive integers are multiplicatively dependent if one is a rational power of the other.

In case $b$ and $r$ are multiplicatively dependent, Theorem 5 follows immediately from Theorem 2 because normality to base $b$ is equivalent to normality to any multiplicatively dependent base $r$.

## Weyl's criterion

Again we write $e(u)$ to denote $\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i u}$.
A sequence $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ of real numbers is equidistributed modulo 1 if and only if for all non-zero integers $h$,

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} e\left(h x_{n}\right)=0
$$

A number $x$ is Borel normal to integer base $b \geqslant 2$ exactly when $\left(b^{n} x\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is equidistributed modulo 1 which holds exactly when for all non zero integers $h$,

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} e\left(h b^{n} x\right)=0
$$

## Proof of Theorem 5

We adapt the work of Cassels 1959 and Schmidt 1961/1962. Our argument is also based on giving upper bounds for certain Riesz products.

Cassels worked on a Cantor-type set of real numbers whose ternary expansion avoids the digit 2 (hence not normal to base 3) and he established regularity properties of the uniform measure supported on this Cantor-type set.

In contrast, we deal with the measure $\mu$ which is the uniform measure on the set of real numbers which respect the digit dependencies.

## Proof of Theorem 5

To prove $\mu$-almost all $x \in \mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}$ are normal to base $r$ use Weyl criterion.
1 Define initial segments of subexponential growth $M_{k}$ for $k=1,2,3 \ldots$ Fix a positive $h$. Define sets

$$
\operatorname{Bad}_{k}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{T}_{b, \mathcal{P}}: \frac{1}{M_{k}-M_{k-1}} \sum_{n=M_{k-1}}^{M_{k}} e\left(r^{n} h x\right)>1 / k .\right\}
$$

2 Prove $\mu\left(B a d_{k}\right)$ is small enough to convergent series summing over $k$ Give upper bound of mean value of $\left.\left|\frac{1}{M_{k}-M_{k-1}} \sum_{j=M_{k-1}}^{M_{k}} e\left(r^{n} h x\right)\right|\right|^{2}$. Using Chebishev inequality give an upper bound for $\mu\left(B a d_{k}\right)$.
3 Apply Borel Cantelli, obtain $\mu$-almost all $x \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}$ outside $\bigcup_{k} B a d_{k}$.
4 For any $N$ there is $k$ such that $N-M_{k}=o(N)$. Then, $\mu$-almost all $x$

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e\left(r^{n} h x\right)=0
$$

5 Countably many $h$ and $r \geqslant 2$ multiplicatively independent to $b$.

## Proof of Theorem 5

## Lemma

Let $r \geqslant 2$ be multiplicatively independent to $b$.
Then for all integers $h \geqslant 1$ there exist constants $c>0$ and $k_{0}>0$, depending only on $b, r$ and $h$ such that for all positive integers $k, m$ satisfying $k_{0} \leqslant k+1+2 \log _{r} b \leqslant m$,

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|\sum_{j=m+1}^{m+k} e\left(r^{j} h x\right)\right|^{2} d \mu(x) \leqslant k^{2-c}
$$

## Proof of Theorem 5

## Lemma (adapted from Schmidt's Hilfssatz 5, 1961)

Let $r$ and $b$ be multiplicatively independent. There is a constant $c>0$, depending only on $r$ and $b$, such that for all positive integers $K$ and $L$ with $L \geqslant b^{K}$,

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \prod_{\substack{k=K+1 \\ k \text { odd }}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{b}+\frac{b-1}{b}\left|\cos \left(\pi r^{n} L b^{-k}\right)\right|\right) \leqslant 2 N^{1-c}
$$

The proof of Schmidt's Hilfssatz, uses that the function $|\cos (\pi x)|$ is periodic, the fact that $|\cos (\pi x)| \leqslant 1$ and that $\left|\cos \left(\pi / b^{2}\right)\right|<1$.
All these properties also hold for the function $\frac{1}{b}+\frac{b-1}{b}|\cos (\pi x)|$.
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