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Everyone has an intuitive idea about what is randomness, often associated with "gambling" or "luck".

## Today:

- Is there a mathematical definition of randomness?
- Are there degrees of randomness?
- Examples of randomness?
- Can a computer produce a sequence that is truly random?
- Randomness Logic, Language and Information


## Lady luck is fickle

Think of 0 s and 1 s .
A sequence is random if it can not be distinguished from independent tosses of a fair coin.
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## Lady luck is fickle

Would you believe that these have been obtained by independent tosses?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 111111111111111111111111111111111111111111 \ldots . \\
& 01001000100001000001000000100000001000000001 \ldots \\
& 100101010110001101110100010010101111001001 . .
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$$

Heads and tails must occur with the same frequency. Likewise for any combination of heads and tails.
¡Otherwise we would be able to guess it infinitely many times!
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## By whom?

By a human being? Ugh! we can not formalize it.
By an automaton ? Yes. But there are different kinds... Turing machines, pushdown automata, finite state automata.

Turing machines yield the purest notion of randomness.
Finite state automata yield the most basic notion of randomness .
And there are intermediate notions.
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## Randomness for Turing machines (pure randomness)

A sequence is random for Turing machines if, essentially, its initial segments can only be described explicitely using a Turing machine . That is, its initial segments cannot be compressed with a Turing machine.

Formally, a sequence is random if its initial segments have almost maximal descriptive complexity .

## Descriptive / Kolmogorov / program-size complexity

Some long strings can be described using fewer symbols than their length; this is used in data compression .

aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa For example, a string consisting of $2^{n}$ many $a$ 's can be encoded as $\log n$ many symbols plus a constant:

$$
\text { input } \left.n ; \text { i=0; while }\left(\mathrm{i}<2^{n}\right) \text { \{print } a ; \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1 ;\right\}
$$

## Descriptive / Kolmogorov / program-size complexity

## Definition (Chaitin 1975)

Fix a universal Turing machine $U$ with prefix-free domain . The descriptive of a string $s, K(s)$, is the length of the shortest input in $U$ that outputs $s$.

For every string $s, K(s) \leq|s|+2 \log |s|+$ constant.

Definition (Chaitin 1975)
A sequence $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \ldots$ is random if $\exists c \forall n K\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right)>n-c$.

How do we know that the definition is right?
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## How do we know that the definition is right?

The definition of randomness was accepted when two different formulations were shown to be equivalent.

This is similar to what happenned with the notion of algorithm in 1930s with Church-Turing thesis.
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## An equivalent definition of randomness

Definition (Martin-Löf 1965, tests of non-randomness)
A sequence is Martin-Löf random if it passes all computably definable tests of non-randomness.

Technically, a sequence is Martin-Löf random if it belongs to no computably definable null set.

Theorem (Schnorr 1975)
Chaitin's and Martin-Löf's definitionare equivalent.
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## $\Omega$-numbers

Theorem (Chaitin 1975)
The probability that a universal Turing machine with prefix-free domain halts, is random.

$$
\Omega=\sum_{U(p) \text { halts }} 2^{-|p|}
$$

$\Omega$ numbers: probabilities of other computer behaviours
(Becher,Chaitin 2001,2003; Becher, Grigorieff 2005,2009: Becher, Figueira, Grigorieff, Miller 2006; Barmpalias 2016)
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## Randomness $\downarrow$ Logic

The Berry's paradox
Give the smallest positive integer not definable in fewer than thirteen words.

The above sentence has twelve.
G.G.Berry 1867-1928, librarian at Oxford's Bodleian library.
G.Boolos (1989) built on a formalized version of Berry's paradox to prove Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem formalizing the expression " $m$ is the first number not definable in less than $k$ symbols".
X.Caicedo (1993), La paradoja de Berry revisitada, o la indefinibilidad de la definibilidad y las limitaciones de los formalismos Lecturas Matemáticas 14: 37-48.

## Berry's paradox

Though the formal analogue does not lead to a logical contradiction, it yields a proof that descriptive complexity $K$ is not computable.
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## Questions and answers about random sequences

Are almost all sequences random?

Yes. By Martin Löf's definition, the set of random sequences is the whole set minus the effectively defined universal null set. Then, with probability 1 an arbitrary sequence belongs to the set of random sequences.
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## Questions and answers about random sequences

Is there a hierarchy of randomness?

Yes. there is a hierarchy of automata. For example, incompressibility by Turing machines imples incompressibility by finite automata.
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## Questions and answers about random sequences

Is the spell of good luck (or bad luck) necessarily short?
Yes ("Nothing lasts forever...").

Proof: Think of 0 s and 1 s . Suppose a random sequence starts $a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}$. If there is a run of 0 's longer than $\log n$, then $a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}$ is compressible. Randomness ensures that this will happen only finitely many times.
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Can a computer output a random sequence?
"Anyone who considers arithmetical methods of producing random digits is, of course, in a state of sin."

John Von Neumann (1951). Various techniques used in connection with random digits.

Proof: Every computable sequences is dramatically compressible by a Turing machine! An initial segment of length $n$ can be compressed to $2 \log n+$ constant. Hence, computable sequences are not random.
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Random number generators (pseudo randomness) USA National Institute of Standards and Technology http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/ST/toolkit/rng/
http://www.random.org/
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## Randomness Information

Descriptive
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## Randomness $\downarrow$ Information

## Definition (Shannon 1948)

Given a probability $P$ of a discrete random variable $X$, the entropy $\left.H(X)=\sum_{x} P(x=X)(-\log P(x=X))\right)$.

Definition (Chaitin 1975)
Given a universal Turing $U$ machine with prefix-free domain. $K(s)=\min \{|t|: U(t)=s\}, P(s)=\sum_{t: U(t)=s} 2^{-|t|}$.

Theorem (Chaitin 1975)
For every string $s, K(s) \simeq\lceil-\log P(s)\rceil$.
Shannon's entropy is formally equal to expected descriptive complexity:

$$
\sum_{s} P(s)(-\log P(s)) \simeq \sum_{s} P(s) K(s) .
$$
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## Randomness $\downarrow$ Language

A sequence is random (relative to some computing power) if, essentially, the only way to describe it is explicitely.

Therefore, randomness of a given sequence is about how we can describe its initial segments in the language, according to the computing power.

Thus, randomness is a matter of language.
The End
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