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#### Abstract

Let $n, k, r$ be positive integers, with $n \geq k r$. The $r$-uniform Kneser hypergraph $K G^{r}(n, k)$ has as vertex set the set of all $k$-subsets of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and its (hyper) edges are formed by the $r$-tuples of pairwise disjoint $k$-subsets of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. In this paper, we give conditions for the existence of homomorphisms between uniform Kneser hypergraphs.
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## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

A hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is an ordered pair $(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}))$, where $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H})$ (the vertex set) is a finite set and $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ (the edge set) is a family of distinct non-empty subsets of $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H})$. If every (hyper) edge in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ has size $r$, then $\mathcal{H}$ is called $r$-uniform. Notice that a (simple) graph is a 2 -uniform hypergraph. Let $A, B$ be two finite sets and let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping from $A$ to $B$. The extension of $\phi$, that we denote by $\hat{\phi}$, is a mapping from $2^{A}$ to $2^{B}$ defined by $\hat{\phi}(S)=\cup_{a \in S}\{\phi(a)\}$, for any subset $S \subseteq A$.

Let $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}))$ and $\mathcal{H}=(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}))$ be two hypergraphs. A mapping $\phi: \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H})$ is called a homomorphism from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{H}$ if, for any edge $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$, we have that $\hat{\phi}(e) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$. If there is a homomorphism $\phi$ from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{H}$, we will write $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, and also introduce $\phi$ writing $\phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. An automorphism of a (hyper)graph $\mathcal{G}$ is an injective homomorphism from $\mathcal{G}$ to himself. The set of all automorphisms of a (hyper)graph $\mathcal{G}$ forms a group structure which is denoted by $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$.

[^0]For any positive integer $t$, let $[t]$ denote the set $\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$. A $t$-coloring of a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is a coloring $f: \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow[t]$ of the vertex set with $t$ colors such that there is no monochromatic edge. The minimum $t$ such that there exists a $t$-coloring for hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is called its chromatic number, and it is denoted by $\chi(\mathcal{H})$.

For any positive integers $n, k$, let $\binom{[n]}{k}$ be the set of $k$-subsets of [n]. The Kneser hypergraph $K G^{r}(n, k)$ is the $r$-uniform hypergraph whose vertex set is $\binom{[n]}{k}$ and whose (hyper) edges are formed by the $r$-tuples of pairwise disjoint $k$-subsets of $[n]$.

Concerning the study of homomorphisms between 2-uniform Kneser hypergraphs, the most known and useful results are the following:

Lemma 1 (Stahl [5]). Let $n, k$ be positive integers with $n \geq 2 k$. Then, there is a homomorphism $K G^{2}(n+2, k+1) \rightarrow K G^{2}(n, k)$.

Notice that if $H \rightarrow K G^{2}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ and $H \rightarrow K G^{2}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, then $H \rightarrow K G^{2}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}, k_{1}+k_{2}\right)$. Therefore, by using the Stahl's homomorphism we can deduce that $K G^{2}(n, k) \rightarrow K G^{2}(t n-2 s, t k-$ $s)$ for any positive integer $t$ and any $s \in[k-1]$.

Lemma 2 (Godsil and Roy [3]). Let $n / k=w / s>2$. Then, there is a homomorphism $K G^{2}(n, k) \rightarrow$ $K G^{2}(w, s)$ if and only if $k$ divides $s$.

Lemma 3 (Godsil and Roy [3]). Suppose there is a homomorphism $K G^{2}(n, k) \rightarrow K G^{2}(w, s)$. If $s\binom{n}{k}>n\binom{n-1}{k-1}+(w-n) h_{n, k}$, then there is a homomorphism $K G^{2}(n-1, k) \rightarrow K G^{2}(w-2, s)$, where $h_{n, k}=1+\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}$.

The chromatic number of $r$-uniform Kneser hypergraphs has been completely determined. In a famous paper, Lovász [4] proved that $\chi\left(K G^{2}(n, k)\right)$ is equal to $n-2 k+2$. Later, this result has been extended to $r$-uniform Kneser hypergraphs by Alon, Frankl and Lovász [1] who showed that $\chi\left(K G^{r}(n, k)\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n-(k-1) . r}{r-1}\right\rceil$ for $n \geq k r$.

As far as we know, there are no results concerning the study of homomorphisms between $r$ uniform Kneser hypergraphs for $r>2$. In this paper, we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of homomorphisms between Kneser hypergraphs. The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we start our study by characterizing the existence of homomorphisms between two $r$-uniform Kneser hypergraphs. The study of homomorphisms between two Kneser hypergraphs formed by hyperedges of different size is done in Sections 3 and 4. In Section 3, we study the homomorphisms from $K G^{r}(n, 1)$ to any other $r^{\prime}$-uniform Kneser hypergraph. In Section 4, we present results for the more general case of homomorphisms from $r$-uniform Kneser hypergraphs to $r^{\prime}$-uniform Kneser hypergraphs. Finally, in Section 5, we discuss some applications of our results to rainbow colorings of Kneser hypergraphs.

## 2 Homomorphism between two r-uniform Kneser hypergraphs

In this section, we characterize the existence of homomorphisms between two $r$-uniform Kneser hypergraphs in terms of the existence of homomorphisms between 2-uniform Kneser hypergraphs.

Theorem 1. Let $r, n_{1}, k_{1}, n_{2}, k_{2}$ be positive integers, with $n_{i} \geq r k_{i}$, for $i=1,2$, and with $r \geq 3$. There is a homomorphism from $K G^{r}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ to $K G^{r}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ if and only if there is a homomorphism from $K G^{2}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ to $K G^{2}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Assume there is a homomorphism $\phi: K G^{r}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right) \rightarrow K G^{r}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$. Let $A$ and $B$ be a pair of adjacent vertices in $K G^{2}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$. As $n_{1} \geq r k_{1}$ and $r \geq 3$, there exists a set of $r-2$ pairwise disjoint elements $\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{r-2}\right\}$ of $\binom{\left[n_{1}\right] \backslash(A \cup B)}{k_{1}}$. Thus, the set $e=\left\{A, B, C_{1}, \ldots, C_{r-2}\right\}$ is an edge of $K G^{r}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ and therefore, by hypothesis, the set $\hat{\phi}(e)=\left\{\phi(A), \phi(B), \phi\left(C_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(C_{r-2}\right)\right\}$ is an edge of $K G^{r}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, which implies that $\phi(A) \cap \phi(B)=\emptyset$. Therefore, $\phi$ is a homomorphism from $K G^{2}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ to $K G^{2}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$.

Conversely, let $\phi$ be a homomorphism from $K G^{2}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ to $K G^{2}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$. By hypothesis, for any pair of vertices $A, B$ in $\binom{\left[n_{1}\right]}{k_{1}}$ such that $A \cap B=\emptyset$, we have that $\phi(A) \cap \phi(B)=\emptyset$. Therefore, by definition of $r$-uniform Kneser hypergraphs, we have that each edge of $K G^{r}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ is mapped by $\phi$ to an edge of $K G^{r}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, which proves that $\phi$ is also a homomorphism from $K G^{r}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ to $K G^{r}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$.

Remark 1. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an $r_{1}$-uniform hypergraph and $\mathcal{G}$ be an $r_{2}$-uniform hypergraph. If there is a homomorphism from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{G}$ then $r_{1} \geq r_{2}$.

In fact, notice that if $\phi: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a homomorphism and $e=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r_{1}}\right\}$ is an edge of $\mathcal{H}$, then $\hat{\phi}(e)=\left\{\phi\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(v_{r_{1}}\right)\right\}$ is an edge of $\mathcal{G}$ and therefore $r_{2} \leq r_{1}$.

## 3 The case $k_{1}=1$

In Theorem 2 we completely characterize the existence of homomorphisms between $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$ and other $r$-uniform Kneser graphs. First, in Lemma 4 we show that such homomorphism should send all vertices of $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$ to a single edge in the image. Then Example 1 shows this condition is not sufficient. The rest of the section is then devoted to characterize such homomorphisms.

Lemma 4. Let $n_{1}, n_{2}, r_{1}, r_{2}, k_{2}$ be positive integers with $n_{1} \geq r_{1}, n_{2} \geq r_{2} k_{2}$, and $r_{1}>r_{2}$. Let $\phi$ be a homomorphism from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$. Then, for any pair of (hyper)edges $e_{1}, e_{2}$ in $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$, we must have that $\phi\left(e_{1}\right)=\phi\left(e_{2}\right)$.
Proof. Let $e_{1}=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r_{1}}\right\}$ be an edge of $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$. As $\phi$ is a homomorphism, then $\hat{\phi}\left(e_{1}\right)=e$, where $e=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r_{2}}\right\}$ is an edge of $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$. By sake of contradiction, assume there is a vertex $x \in\left[n_{1}\right] \backslash e_{1}$ such that $y=\phi(x) \notin e$. As $r_{1}>r_{2}$, there exists $v_{i} \in e$ such that $\left|\phi^{-1}\left(v_{i}\right) \cap e_{1}\right|>1$. Let $u \in \phi^{-1}\left(v_{i}\right) \cap e_{1}$. Notice that $e^{\prime}=\left(e_{1} \backslash\{u\}\right) \cup\{x\}$ is an edge of $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$, and $e^{\prime} \neq e_{1}$. However, $\phi\left(e^{\prime}\right)=e \cup\{y\}$ which is not an edge of $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, contradicting the fact that $\phi$ is a hypergraph homomorphism.

By Lemma 4, if $\phi$ is a homomorphism from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, then $\hat{\phi}\left(\left[n_{1}\right]\right)$ is an edge of $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$. However, this fact is not a sufficient condition for determining whether there exists or not a homomorphism between $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$ and $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ as Example 1 shows.
Example 1. Let $n_{1}=5, r_{1}=3, k_{2}=1, r_{2}=2$, and $n_{2} \geq 2$. Let $e_{1}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ be and edge of $K G^{3}(5,1)$ and assume that $\phi\left(e_{1}\right)=e_{2}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$, where $e_{2}$ is an edge of $K G^{2}\left(n_{2}, 1\right)$. W.l.o.g., we can assume that $\phi\left(u_{1}\right)=\phi\left(u_{3}\right)=v_{1}$ and $\phi\left(u_{2}\right)=v_{2}$. Let $\left\{u_{4}, u_{5}\right\}=\left[n_{1}\right] \backslash e_{1}$. If $\phi$ is a homomorphism, then $\phi\left(u_{4}\right)=v_{2}$, otherwise $\phi\left(\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}\right)=\left\{v_{1}\right\}$ which is not and edge of $K G^{2}\left(n_{2}, 1\right)$. Now, if $\phi\left(u_{5}\right)=v_{1}$ then $\phi$ is not a homomorphism as previously, and if $\phi\left(u_{5}\right)=v_{2}$, then $\phi$ is not a homomorphism, because $\phi\left(\left\{u_{2}, u_{4}, u_{5}\right\}\right)=\left\{v_{2}\right\}$ which is not an edge of $K G^{2}\left(n_{2}, 1\right)$. Therefore, it is easy to see that there exists no homomorphism between $K G^{3}(5,1)$ and $K G^{2}\left(n_{2}, 1\right)$. In particular, notice that $\chi\left(K G^{3}(5,1)\right)=\chi\left(K G^{2}(3,1)\right)=3$ but $K G^{3}(5,1) \nrightarrow K G^{2}(3,1)$.

Given $\phi: K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right) \rightarrow K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, using Lemma 4 one can define a partition $n_{1}=a_{1}+$ $\cdots+a_{r_{2}}$ of $n_{1}$ into $r_{2}$ positive parts, where each part corresponds to the size of the pre-image under $\phi$ of a vertex in $\hat{\phi}\left(\left[n_{1}\right]\right)$. We call such partition the type of $\phi$. In fact, notice that by Lemma 4, as $\phi$ is a homomorphism, then all vertices in $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$ are mapped by $\phi$ to one hyperedge $e=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{r_{2}}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{E}\left(K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)\right)$ and thus, $\hat{\phi}\left(\left[n_{1}\right]\right)=e$. Therefore, the type of $\phi$ is the $r_{2}$-partition $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{r_{2}}\right)$ of $n_{1}$, where $a_{i}=\left|\phi^{-1}\left(v_{i}\right)\right|$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, r_{2}$.
Definition 1. An r-partition of $n$ is a vector $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ of size $r$ with $n=a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}$ and $0<a_{1} \leq a_{2} \leq \cdots \leq a_{r}$.

As Example 1 shows, not every $r_{2}$-partition of $n_{1}$ is the type of a homomorphism from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$. In Lemma 5, we give a characterization of when a partition is the type of a homomorphism. In Lemma 6, we show that modulo automorphisms of the two hypergraphs, the type characterizes the homomorphism. Lemmas 5 and 6 completely characterize the set of all homomorphisms from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ for any positive integers $n_{1}, n_{2}, r_{1}, r_{2}, k_{2}$ with $n_{1} \geq r_{1}$, $n_{2} \geq r_{2} k_{2}$, and $r_{1}>r_{2}$.

Lemma 5. Let a be an $r_{2}$-partition of $n_{1}$. Then, $\mathbf{a}$ is the type of a homomorphism from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ if and only if $a_{1}+r_{1}>n_{1}$.

Proof. First, assume a is the type of $\phi: K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right) \rightarrow K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$. Then each $a_{i}$ is the size of the set $\phi^{-1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ where $v_{i}$ is a vertex in $\hat{\phi}\left(\left[n_{1}\right]\right)$. Let $S=\left[n_{1}\right] \backslash \phi^{-1}\left(v_{1}\right)$. If $|S| \geq r_{1}$, taking $S^{\prime} \subseteq S$ of size $r_{1}$, we have that $S^{\prime}$ is an edge of $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$, but $\hat{\phi}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \hat{\phi}\left(\left[n_{1}\right]\right) \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\}$ which is not an edge of $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$. Therefore $r_{1}>|S|=n_{1}-a_{1}$. Now, assume $\mathbf{a}$ is such that $a_{1}+r_{1}>n_{1}$. Let $e=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r_{2}}\right\}$ be a fixed edge of $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$. For each $i \in\left[n_{1}\right]$, define $\phi(i)=v_{j}$ where $j \in\left[r_{2}\right]$ is the index such that $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{j-1}<i \leq a_{1}+\cdots+a_{j-1}+a_{j}$. Clearly, $\phi$ is a map from $\left[n_{1}\right]$ to $\binom{\left[n_{2}\right]}{k_{2}}$ such that $\hat{\phi}\left(\left[n_{1}\right]\right)=e$. If $\phi$ is not a homomorphism from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, then there is an edge $e_{1}$ of $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$ and $j \in\left[r_{2}\right]$ such that $v_{j} \notin \hat{\phi}\left(e_{1}\right)$. Then $\left|e_{1}\right| \leq\left|\hat{\phi}^{-1}\left(\hat{\phi}\left(e_{1}\right)\right)\right| \leq n_{1}-a_{j} \leq n_{1}-a_{1}<r_{1}$ which is a contradiction.

Lemma 6. Let $n_{1}, n_{2}, r_{1}, r_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}$ be positive integers with $k_{1}=1, n_{1} \geq r_{1}, n_{2} \geq r_{2} k_{2}$, and $r_{1}>r_{2}$. Let $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ be two homomorphisms from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right) \rightarrow K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ with types $a^{1}$ and $a^{2}$, respectively. Then $a^{1}=a^{2}$ if and only if there are $\alpha_{i}$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(K G^{r_{i}}\left(n_{i}, k_{i}\right)\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$ such that $\phi_{1} \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2} \phi_{2}$.

Proof. Let $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ be the edges of $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ such that $\phi_{i}(e)=e_{i}$ for any edge $e \in \mathcal{E}\left(K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)\right)$ and $i \in\{1,2\}$. First, consider that $a^{1}=a^{2}$. Now, for $v \in e_{1}$, define $\alpha_{2}(v)=u$ where $u \in e_{2}$ and $\left|\phi_{2}^{-1}(v)\right|=\left|\phi_{1}^{-1}(u)\right|$ in such way that $\alpha_{2}(v) \neq \alpha_{2}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ for $v, v^{\prime} \in e_{1}$; complete the definition of $\alpha_{2}$ by using any injective function from $\mathcal{V}\left(K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)\right) \backslash e_{1}$ to $\mathcal{V}\left(K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)\right) \backslash e_{2}$. Since $a^{1}=a^{2}, \alpha_{2}$ is well defined. Next, define $\alpha_{1}$ by using, for every $v \in e_{1}$, an injective function from $\phi_{2}^{-1}(v)$ and $\phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{2}(v)\right)$. Notice that $\phi_{1} \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2} \phi_{2}$.

Conversely, assume that there are $\alpha_{i}$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(K G^{r_{i}}\left(n_{i}, k_{i}\right)\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$ such that $\phi_{1} \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2} \phi_{2}$. It is clear that $\alpha_{2}$ restricted to $e_{1}$ is an injective function with image $e_{2}$. Notice that every vertex $v \in e_{1}$ contributes with value $\left|\phi_{2}^{-1}(v)\right|$ to compose the type $a^{2}$ and the contribution of $\alpha_{2}(v) \in e_{2}$ to compose $a^{1}$ is $\left|\phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{2}(v)\right)\right|$. Since $\phi_{1} \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2} \phi_{2}$, it holds $\left|\phi_{2}^{-1}(v)\right|=\left|\phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{2}(v)\right)\right|$ and therefore $a^{1}=a^{2}$.

The next result shows necessary and sufficient conditions for determining the existence of a homomorphism between two hypergraphs $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right)$ and $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, with $r_{1} \neq r_{2}$.

Theorem 2. Let $n_{1}, n_{2}, r_{1}, r_{2}, k_{2}$ be positive integers with $n_{1} \geq r_{1}, n_{2} \geq r_{2} k_{2}$, and $r_{1}>r_{2}$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) There exists $\phi: K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, 1\right) \rightarrow K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$
(ii) There exists an $r_{2}$-partition a of $\left[n_{1}\right]$ such that $a_{1}+r_{1}>n_{1}$
(iii) $n_{1}-\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor<r_{1}$
(iv) $r_{2}=1$ or $n_{1} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{r_{2}-1}\right\rfloor$.

Proof. That (i) and (ii) are equivalent follows from Lemma 5. Notice that if (ii) holds, then $a_{1} \leq\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r_{2}}\right) / r_{2}=n_{1} / r_{2}$ and thus (iii) follows. Also, if (iii) holds, let $s=n_{1}-r_{2}\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor$. Then $0 \leq s<r_{2}$. Define $a_{i}=\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor$ for $i=1, \ldots, r_{2}-s$ and $a_{i}=\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor+1$ for $i=r_{2}-s+1, \ldots, r_{2}$. Then $\mathbf{a}$ is an $r_{2}$-partition satisfying (ii).

Now, to show that (iii) and (iv) are equivalent, notice first that the case $r_{2}=1$ is trivial. Therefore we assume $r_{2}>1$. Let $N=\left\lfloor\frac{r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{r_{2}-1}\right\rfloor$. Notice that if $n_{1} \geq r_{1}$ satisfies (iii) (resp. (iv)) and $r_{1} \leq n_{1}^{\prime} \leq n_{1}$, then $n_{1}^{\prime}$ also satisfies (iii) (resp. (iv)). Thus to show that (iii) and (iv) are equivalent it is enough to show that (iii) holds for $n_{1}=N$ and does not hold for $n_{1}=N+1$. From the definition of $N$, we have that $N>\frac{r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{r_{2}-1}-1$. Thus,

$$
N-\left\lfloor\frac{N}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor<\left\lfloor\frac{r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{r_{2}-1}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1}-1}{r_{2}-1}-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor=r_{1}-1+\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1}-1}{r_{2}-1}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1}-1}{r_{2}-1}-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor \leq r_{1}
$$

as $r_{2} \geq 2$. Also, $N \leq \frac{r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{r_{2}-1}$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
N+1-\left\lfloor\frac{N+1}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor \geq\left\lfloor\frac{r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{r_{2}-1}\right\rfloor+1-\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1}-1}{r_{2}-1}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor=r_{1}+\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1}-1}{r_{2}-1}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1}-1}{r_{2}-1}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using that for any positive real $x$ and positive integer $n$ we have $\lfloor x\rfloor n \leq\lfloor n x\rfloor$, we obtain that $\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1}-1}{r_{2}-1}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor\left(r_{2}-1\right) \leq\left\lfloor r_{1}-1+\frac{r_{2}-1}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor=r_{1}-1$. Thus, $\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1}-1}{r_{2}-1}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor \leq \frac{r_{1}-1}{r_{2}-1}$ which implies $\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1}-1}{r_{2}-1}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor \leq$ $\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1}-1}{r_{2}-1}\right\rfloor$. Using (1) we obtain $N+1-\left\lfloor\frac{N+1}{r_{2}}\right\rfloor \geq r_{1}$.

## 4 Results for the general case

Using the results from Section 3, we derive bounds for general values of $k_{1}$. The main idea is to construct a copy of $K G^{r_{1}}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}}\right\rfloor, 1\right)$ in $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ (see Theorem 3). Thus the existence of a homomorphism from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ implies the existence of a homomorphism from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}}\right\rfloor, 1\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, which implies bounds on $\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}}\right\rfloor$ (see Corollary 1). On the other hand, homomorphisms from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ to $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}-2 k_{1}+2,1\right)$ are also shown to exist (see Theorem 3), which imply the existence of homomorphisms from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ when homomorphisms from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}-2 k_{1}+2,1\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ do exist (see Corollary 1).

Theorem 3. Let $r, n, k$ be positive integers such that $n \geq r k$.
(i) There exists a homomorphism $K G^{r}(m, 1) \rightarrow K G^{r}(n, k)$ if and only if $m \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k}\right\rfloor$.
(ii) There exists a homomorphism $K G^{r}(n, k) \rightarrow K G^{r}(m, 1)$ if and only if $m \geq n-2 k+2$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 1 and obtain that we can assume $r=2$. Notice that $K G^{2}(m, 1)=K_{m}$ the complete graph with vertex set $[m]$. To prove (i), notice that $\vartheta(i)=\{(i-1) k+1, \ldots, i k\}$ defines a homomorphism $\vartheta: K_{m} \rightarrow K G^{2}(n, k)$ when $m \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k}\right\rfloor$. On the other hand, if $\vartheta: K_{m} \rightarrow K G^{2}(n, k)$ is a homomorphism, then $\{\vartheta(i): i \in[m]\}$ is a set of $m$ pairwise disjoint subsets of $[n]$ of size $k$. Thus $m k \leq n$. To prove (ii), notice that any homomorphism from $K G^{2}(n, k)$ to $K_{m}$ is a $m$-coloring of $K G^{2}(n, k)$ and thus the result follows from Lovász [4] result $\chi\left(K G^{2}(n, k)\right)=n-2 k+2$.

Now we use Theorem 3 and Theorem 2 to obtain necessary conditions for the existence of a homomorphism from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$.

Corollary 1. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}, n_{1}, n_{2}, k_{1}$, $k_{2}$ be positive integers, with $n_{i} \geq r_{i} k_{i}$, for $i=1,2$, and with $r_{1}>r_{2} \geq 2$.
(i) If there is a homomorphism from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, then $\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}}\right\rfloor \leq\left\lfloor\frac{r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{r_{2}-1}\right\rfloor$. In particular $n_{1}<\frac{r_{1} r_{2}-1}{r_{2}-1} k_{1}$.
(ii) If $n_{1}-2 k_{1}+2 \leq \frac{r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{r_{2}-1}$, then there exists a homomorphism from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$.

Proof. First, notice that (ii) follows from Theorem 3(ii) and Theorem 2. Now, we prove (i). Assume there is a homomorphism $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right) \rightarrow K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$. Using Theorem 3, we have that there is a homomorphism from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}}\right\rfloor, 1\right)$ to $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$. Therefore, by homomorphism composition, there is a homomorphism from $K G^{r_{1}}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}}\right\rfloor, 1\right)$ to $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$. Thus from Theorem 2 it follows that $\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}}\right\rfloor \leq\left\lfloor\frac{r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{r_{2}-1}\right\rfloor$. Notice that this implies $\frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}}<\frac{r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{r_{2}-1}+1=\frac{r_{2} r_{1}-1}{r_{2}-1}$.

Remark 2. Notice that Corollary 1 gives necessary and sufficient conditions to the existence of special homomorphism between hypergraphs $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ and $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ : the ones that map every hyperedge in $K G^{r_{1}}\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ to a single hyperedge in $K G^{r_{2}}\left(n_{2}, k_{2}\right)$.

We end this section with the following example:
Example 2. Let $n_{1}=8, k_{1}=2$, $r_{1}=4$, and $n_{2}=7, k_{2}=3, r_{2}=2$. As $n_{1}-2 k_{1}+2=6=\frac{r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{r_{2}-1}$ then, by Corollary $1(i i)$, we know that there exists a homomorphism from $K G^{4}(8,2)$ to $K G^{2}(7,3)$. In fact, by Theorem 3(ii), there exists a homomorphism $\theta: K G^{4}(8,2) \rightarrow K G^{4}(6,1)$. It can be defined as follows: $\theta^{-1}(i)=\{\{i, j\}: i<j \leq 8\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$, and $\theta^{-1}(6)=\{\{6,7\},\{6,8\},\{7,8\}\}$. Now, let $n_{1}=6, k_{1}=1, r_{1}=4$, and $n_{2}=7, k_{2}=3, r_{2}=2$. By Theorem $2((i i i) \Longrightarrow(i))$, there exists a homomorphism $\pi$ from $K G^{4}(6,1)$ to $K G^{2}(7,3)$. Let $e=\{\{1,2,3\},\{4,5,6\}\}$ be a hyperedge of $K G^{2}(7,3)$. Now, define $\pi$ as follows: $\pi^{-1}(\{1,2,3\})=\{1,2,3\}$ and $\pi^{-1}(\{4,5,6\})=\{4,5,6\}$. Notice that $\hat{\pi}([6])=e$. Finally, the desired homomorphism $\phi$ from $K G^{4}(8,2)$ to $K G^{2}(7,3)$ can be defined by $\phi=\pi \circ \theta$. Moreover, as there exists a homomorphism $K G^{4}(8,2) \rightarrow K G^{2}(7,3)$, then $\left\lfloor\frac{8}{2}\right\rfloor=4 \leq\left\lfloor\frac{2(4-1)}{2-1}\right\rfloor=6$ as stated in Corollary $1(i)$.

## 5 Relation to colorings

Some questions about colorings of hypergraphs can be reformulated as questions about hypergraph homomorphisms. Thus our results allow to characterize when certain types of colorings exist or not.

A rainbow $t$-coloring of a hypergraph $\mathcal{G}$ is a vertex coloring of $\mathcal{G}$ with $t$ colors in which every hyperedge contains a vertex of each of the $t$ colors. Notice that rainbow 2 -coloring is the same as
normal 2 -coloring, and the existence of a rainbow $t$-coloring for $t=2$ implies that the hypergraph is 2 -colorable. Rainbow $t$-coloring is also known as polychromatic coloring where the basic question is: given a certain family of hypergraphs (often interpreted as set systems representing geometric objets), what is the smallest $t$ that guarantees the existence of a rainbow $t$-coloring. We refer to the work of Bollobás et al. [2].

Notice that, for $r \geq 2$, the $r$-uniform Kneser hypergraph $K G^{r}(r, 1)$ is just a hyperedge with $r$ vertices. Therefore, it is not difficult to see that a hypergraph $\mathcal{G}$ has a rainbow coloring with $t$ colors if and only if there exists a homomorphism from $\mathcal{G}$ to $K G^{t}(t, 1)$. This notion leads us to characterize when an $r$-uniform Kneser hypergraph $K G^{r}(n, k)$ admits a rainbow $t$-coloring by using our results concerning the existence (or not) of a homomorphism from $K G^{r}(n, k)$ to $K G^{t}(t, 1)$.

On the other hand one can also be interested in colorings using exactly two colors per edge. A coloring with $t$ colors using exactly two colors per edge is equivalent to a homomorphism to the complete graph $K_{t}$. Notice that $K_{t}=K G^{2}(t, 1)$ and thus our results allow to characterize when the hypergraph $K G^{r}(n, 1)$ admits such coloring, that is when $n<2(r-1)$, that is exactly when the graph is two colorable. In other words, any coloring of $K G^{r}(n, 1)$ with more than 2 colors necessarily colors one of the edges of $K G^{r}(n, 1)$ with 3 or more colors. Similar results can be obtained for other uniform Kneser hypergraphs.
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